

Flood Project Coordinating Committee

MINUTES

Friday – April 13, 2007 – 8:30 a.m.

Washoe County Commission Chambers, 1st Floor of Building A
1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, Nevada

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL – *Determination of a Quorum*

Chair Sferrazza called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m. A quorum was established.

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT:

Dan Gustin, David Humke, Bob Larkin, Geno Martini, Jessica Sferrazza and Ron Smith.

VOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED:

Robert Dickens and Milton Glick.

VOTING ALTERNATES PRESENT:

None.

VOTING ALTERNATES EXCUSED:

Dave Aiazzi, Mike Carrigan and Pete Sferrazza. One vacant.

NON-VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT:

Franco Crivelli, John Jackson, Neil Mann, Dennis Miller, John Sherman and Katy Singlaub. Dean Schultz joined the meeting at 8:36 a.m. Shaun Carey joined the meeting at 8:39 a.m.

NON-VOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED:

Andrew Green, Elisa Maser, Charles McNeely, Rosemary Menard, Tom Minton and Wayne Seidel.

NON-VOTING ALTERNATES PRESENT:

JoAnn Meacham and Jeanne Ruefer. Connie Butts joined the meeting at 10:31 a.m.

NON-VOTING ALTERNATES EXCUSED:

David Childs, Dennis Ghiglieri and Mary Hill.

FLOOD PROJECT STAFF PRESENT:

Naomi Duerr, Mimi Fujii-Strickler, Betsy Mellinger, Ronda Moore, Jan Platt and Pete Simeoni.

FLOOD PROJECT STAFF EXCUSED:

Paul Urban.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

It was moved by Member Larkin, seconded by Member Martini, to approve the April 13, 2007, agenda, as written.

Dean Schultz joined the meeting at 8:36 a.m.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – *FPCC (Flood Project Coordinating Committee) meeting of March 16, 2007 and Special FPCC Meeting of March 20, 2007.*

It was moved by Member Larkin, seconded by Member Humke, to approve the March 16 and March 20, 2007, meeting minutes, as submitted. The motion carried: Members Humke, Larkin, Martini, Smith and Chair Sferrazza assenting; Member Gustin abstaining; and Members Dickens and Glick excused.

Flood Project Coordinating Committee – DRAFT Minutes

April 13, 2007

Page 2 of 11

4. ANNOUNCEMENTS *

Naomi Duerr – Flood Project Director, introduced Mimi Fujii-Strickler – Flood Project Outreach Manager noting that her duties will include oversight of the FPWG (Flood Project Working Group), TAC (Technical Advisory Committee) and other Outreach programs. Ms. Duerr explained that Ms. Fujii-Strickler has fourteen (14) years experience in flood plain management as well as several years experience in community relations. Ms. Duerr invited members to the special April 18, 2007, meeting with Colonel Ron Light and possible tour of restoration sites along the Truckee River. Ms. Duerr asked that individuals interested in participating in the breakfast meeting and tour make their reservation with Flood Project staff. Ms. Duerr will invite all Reno, Sparks and Washoe County elected officials of the upcoming meeting and tour.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT *

Chair Sferrazza commented that Mr. Clark was at the Nevada Legislature and would be unable to attend to make his monthly update on Bristlecone today (April 13, 2007).

Naomi Duerr – Flood Project Director, noted that escrow has closed on the Catholic Church property and that Bristlecone was now a tenant of the Flood Project.

6. UPDATE ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO FLOOD PROJECT COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT – *Possible action to accept report and provide direction to staff on further development or amendment to the Flood Project Cooperative Agreement.*

Ronda Moore – Flood Project Deputy Director, recalled the March 16, 2007, draft amendments to the Cooperative Agreement that the FPCC had adopted: 1) To add one elected official from Storey County as an FPCC voting member; 2) to modify University of Nevada, Reno status on the FPCC from voting to non-voting; and 3) to modify the voting procedure from unanimous consensus to a 66-percent majority vote. Ms. Moore noted that the Storey County Commission had delayed action on the Cooperative Agreement pending additional information on their funding responsibilities and further understandings of Flood project construction in Storey County, among other matters.

Shaun Carey joined the meeting at 8:39 a.m.

Dennis Miller – representing Storey County noted that Commissioner Bob Kershaw was unable to attend today's (April 13, 2007) meeting and that the Storey County Commission hopes to make their final decision at their May 2007 meeting.

Ms. Moore noted that the cooperative agreement could not be placed on the Sparks City Council April 9th agenda and would likely be placed on the May 7th agenda.

Ms. Moore noted that the City of Reno had reviewed the recommendations and took action to approve the agreement with certain modifications on April 11th, including: 1) a simple majority voting structure; and 2) not adding Storey County as a voting member until their funding mechanism is

Flood Project Coordinating Committee – DRAFT Minutes

April 13, 2007

Page 3 of 11

identified. The BCC (Board of County Commissioners) approved the FPCC's recommended amendments in their entirety.

Naomi Duerr noted that the Board of County Commissioners voted to add Storey County, change the voting status of UNR to non-voting and go with the 66% majority, as the FPCC had directed.

Chair Sferrazza emphasized that the Reno City Council has asked that funding sources be identified before adding Storey County as a voting member and that the simple majority vote seems appropriate since local jurisdictions typically take action using a simple majority vote.

Ms. Moore noted that the existing Cooperative Agreement would remain in place and unchanged until all the jurisdictions approve a modified agreement.

Washoe County Manager Katy Singlaub noted that the BCC had taken action already and only if a Commissioner who voted on the prevailing side requested reconsideration of the Cooperative Agreement would the BCC consider changing from the supermajority voting structure.

Sparks City Manager Shaun Carey suggested that the matter be brought to the joint meeting of the three bodies on May 7, 2007, to better understand the underlying reason for the BCC's desire for a supermajority voting structure.

Chair Sferrazza concurred with Mr. Carey.

Member Larkin noted that the May 7, 2007, agenda was rather full but would consider adding the matter to the agenda.

Pete Simeoni – Deputy District Attorney, explained that until such time as all parties sign a modified agreement, the existing agreement remains in effect as written.

Commissioner Larkin stated it was the FPCC that unanimously accepted the 66% supermajority and recommended it to the other jurisdictions, and it was therefore the burden of the FPCC to justify the 66% supermajority, not the County Commissioners'.

There was additional discussion about the 66-percent voting requirement and the need to find a compromise position. It was noted during the discussion that it was incumbent upon the FPCC (Flood Project Coordinating Committee) to justify the 66-percent requirement. Other discussion pointed out that the BCC had taken appropriate action in accepting the FPCC's recommendations regarding amendment of the Cooperative Agreement.

Peter Simeoni stated that he would take a look at the FPCC's Bylaws to see if there is any language as to motions for reconsideration of items already voted on to see if they could be brought back before the FPCC.

There was discussion that the FPCC could not reconsider the voting structure because it was not on today's agenda and staff was directed to bring the issue to the next FPCC meeting.

Flood Project Coordinating Committee – DRAFT Minutes

April 13, 2007

Page 4 of 11

It was moved by Member Larkin, seconded by Member Humke, to accept the report as presented. The motion carried: Members Humke, Gustin, Larkin, Martini, Smith and Chair Sferrazza assenting; and Members Dickens and Glick excused.

- VIRGINIA STREET BRIDGE** – *Presentation of factors affecting feasibility of rehabilitating and bypassing Virginia Street Bridge. Possible action to amend the Locally Preferred Plan (LPP) to include replacement of the Virginia Street Bridge rather than rehabilitation and bypass.*

Naomi Duerr, Flood Project Director, recapped the presentation and discussion of the March 16, 2007, workshop and subsequent presentation to the Reno City Council on March 28, 2007, concerning the Virginia Street Bridge. Ms. Duerr outlined the actions taken by the FPCC in March 2006 as it pertains to the LPP (Locally Preferred Plan) and restoration, if feasible, of the Virginia Street Bridge. Drawing attention to the 1996 MOA (Memorandum of Agreement) to rehabilitate the Virginia Street Bridge in exchange for the demolition and replacement of the Center Street Bridge, Ms. Duerr noted that the Reno City Council had directed City staff to open a dialogue with the signers of the 1996 agreement, which consisted of NDOT (Nevada Department of Transportation), FHWA (Federal Highways Administration), SHPO (State Historic Preservation Office), City of Reno and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. Ms. Duerr outlined the NED (National Economic Development) and LPP Plan options as well as federal funding levels. The NED provides 50 year protection in the downtown reach and the LPP provides 100 year protection. Ms. Duerr emphasized that without the Virginia Street Bridge, there was no project for the downtown reach of the overall project based on the benefit cost calculations, thus resulting in continued flooding if the bridge were taken out of the flood project. The Army Corps of Engineers has stated that if the replacement of the Virginia is not part of the project, then we do not have a project in downtown.

Member Larkin left the meeting at 9:11 a.m.

Ms. Duerr outlined the effects of the Ferrari-Shields bypass option as well as the modified option that increased the length and width of the bypass channel thus causing significant encroachment into the Ten North Virginia Street Plaza, demolition of all or part of the Masonic Temple, loss of setback from the river for the newly constructed Palladio project as well as detrimental effects on the Riverside 12 Theatre Complex. Ms. Duerr noted that the Corps (U. S. Army Corps of Engineers) does not believe the original bypass option will pass the flood flow, as the modeling for that proposal had not included debris typically associated with flooding. Additionally, the added bypass width would need to be physically modeled to assure that the design would function as intended.

Member Larkin rejoined the meeting at 9:13 a.m.

Ms. Duerr then outlined the issue associated with bridge replacement including the ramp heights to achieve the clearance needed above the river, as well as full replacement cost paid by the local sponsors. Responding to Member Larkin's inquiry about the final design selection, Ms. Duerr explained that the City of Reno would have the final decision on the bridge design and that the Corps would typically choose the typical highway bridge design over a more aesthetically pleasing and appropriate structure. Ms. Duerr noted that the three bridge replacement option, including flood walls, would cost approximately \$147-million to provide the 100-year level of protection. Ms. Duerr then summarized the timeline associated with each of the alternatives and levels of federal funding,

Flood Project Coordinating Committee – DRAFT Minutes

April 13, 2007

Page 5 of 11

pointing out that each of the estimates shown in the matrix included the \$5-million for mitigation of the Center Street Bridge replacement.

Discussion focused on the cost/benefit ratios with the replacement of the three (3) bridges (Lake, Sierra and Virginia Streets) and the potential replacement of newer Center Street Bridge. As the discussion continued, it was noted that the downtown portion of the overall project might be lost if a consensus is not reached to resolve the issues of the MOA and Virginia Street Bridge replacement versus restoration.

Member Gustin commented that while the Reno City Council had agreed to the replacement, he believes that the restoration option is still under consideration. Drawing attention to the MOA and potential legal challenge, Mr. Gustin noted that the City Council had voted to replace the bridge to protect downtown.

Ms. Duerr concurred and outlined the numerous processes that must occur before a final decision is rendered.

Member Gustin commented that perhaps it was premature to amend the LPP due to other decisions that may affect the final outcome.

Member Larkin noted that the Corps is seeking a decision from the FPCC on the LPP to avoid a dilution of resources if they are required to look at several options instead of one.

It was moved by Member Larkin, seconded by Member Martini, to accept the report and include the replacement of the Virginia Street Bridge, including flood walls with a clear span bridge, in the Locally Preferred Plan (LPP).

Member Gustin commented that, in his opinion, it was not the FPCC that would delay the project but rather one of the five signators of the MOA.

JoAnn Meacham – City of Sparks, noted that asking the Corps to analyze two separate options would affect the project's timeline.

Member Larkin noted that the FPCC from this point forward would be required to make more difficult decisions to move the project forward.

Chair Sferrazza commented that Member Gustin is right in his concern about the signators to the MOA and that it is critical that preservationists work with the FPCC and City to design a bridge that reflects the historic character of the City while providing needed flood control. Chair Sferrazza stated she would support the motion.

Responding to Member Gustin's inquiry about the bypass option, Ms. Duerr explained that the Corps analysis of the original bypass option showed water five (5) feet above the road deck, which would continue to flood downtown Reno. Additional analysis using a wider channel and bypass option may work but would require acquisition of more land, demolition of buildings and interfere with existing businesses and features, like the river walk, as well as the construction of a physical model. Ms. Duerr emphasized that flood water must be a minimum of two (2) feet below the arch.

Flood Project Coordinating Committee – DRAFT Minutes

April 13, 2007

Page 6 of 11

Member Gustin noted his reluctance to support the motion and concern about harming the project. Mr. Gustin disclosed that a family member is a member of the National Trust for the State of Nevada who would vote on the issue.

Pete Simeoni – Deputy District Attorney, commented that he had not reviewed the MOA.

The meeting recessed at 9:56 a.m. and reconvened at 10:02 a.m. A quorum was present: Members Dickens, Humke and Glick excused.

Chair Sferrazza summarized the motion before the board.

Member Gustin explained that he would abstain from this particular vote as he could not participate in the decision due to other influences.

Katy Singlaub rejoined the meeting at 10:04 a.m.

Neal Mann – Reno Public Works Director, commented that the City had sent letters to the five signators of the MOA as directed by the City Council on March 28, 2007.

Member Humke rejoined the meeting at 10:06 a.m.

Mr. Mann noted that the reopening of the discussion on the MOA was due to a change in conditions since the signing of the MOA in 1996. Mr. Mann noted that clear span bridges would replace existing bridges at Lake and Sierra Streets and that the Center Street bridge may also need to be addressed.

The motion carried: Members Humke, Larkin, Martini, Smith and Chair Sferrazza assenting; Member Gustin abstaining; and Members Dickens and Glick excused.

8. **RENO-SPARKS INDIAN COLONY TRAction PROJECT** – *Presentation of a proposed TRAction project to construct a portion of the flood project levee from US 395 to Glendale Avenue. Recommendation to conceptually approve a TRAction award to the Reno-Sparks Indian Colony, authorize staff to develop an agreement between Washoe County and the Colony in an amount not to exceed \$2-Million, and authorize the Washoe County Board of County Commissioners to enter into the agreement.*

Naomi Duerr, Flood Project Director, commented that the proposed TRAction project is within the footprint of the NED (National Economic Development) and LPP (Locally Preferred Plan) documents and that the project proponents have been asked to present their request to the FPCC (Flood Project Coordinating Committee).

Doug Gardipe – Vice-chair RSIC (Reno Sparks Indian Colony), commented that, in his opinion, the proposed project would be a win-win for both the Truckee River Flood Project as well as the ±1,100 residents of RSIC. Mr. Gardipe concurred with the staff report and recommendations.

Flood Project Coordinating Committee – DRAFT Minutes

April 13, 2007

Page 7 of 11

Scott Nebesky – Planning Director, pointed out the location of the proposed project and recalled the land swap with the State of Nevada under AB299. Mr. Nebesky outlined the components and design of the proposed levee and flood wall and the use of a 5:1 slope to soften the height of the flood wall that will protect the future Wal-Mart site. It is hoped that this particular project will encourage others to extend the protection toward Grand Sierra Resort. Mr. Nebesky noted that the project would have no known effect on the downstream areas.

Steve Moran – Business Director, outlined the known benefits of the project and outlined the existing funding streams. Drawing attention to the continued escalation in construction costs and additional expense associated with a 117-year level of protection, Mr. Moran outlined the \$1.75-million request. Responding to Member Smith's concerns about the Wal-Mart contribution, Mr. Moran stated that the Colony has a lot of time and money invested into the project and he but feels the deal is fair. However, it is anticipated that the State of Nevada and Washoe County School District will receive about one-third of the state sales tax collected, the other two-thirds would go to the Reno Sparks Indian Colony and be used to provide government services, such as the new health center that has been built. With respect to personal property Tax, he noted that Wal-Mart is a non-tribal tenant and the Tribe will assist the assessor in coming on to the Colony's land to assess personal property tax and the value of the improvements, which would turn that over to Washoe County. Mr. Moran emphasized that this is a "fair rent" lease agreement with Wal-Mart and that the amount requested represents a genuine escalation in project costs.

Connie Butts joined the meeting at 10:31 a.m.

In response to questions from Member Martini, Mr. Moran explained that RSIC has not identified federal funding for the project and congress had not been asked for an appropriation. Under the terms of the agreement with Wal-Mart, revenue projections and lease terms could not be disclosed. Remaining sales tax would fund ongoing police, fire and other infrastructure costs as well as the RSIC Health Center. There was discussion about the project's design. It was noted that the project proposal would have minimal downstream impact. Other discussion noted that Flood Project staff had discussed the use of levees along the Sparks Industrial area and that the Corps (U. S. Army Corps of Engineers) had reviewed the design, which they found consistent with the character of the overall Truckee River Flood Project.

Ms. Duerr then explained that the \$1.75-million contribution could be leveraged to \pm \$10-million in project credit. Ms. Duerr outlined the various funding level scenarios the FPCC could use should they decide to fund the project, noting that the ambitious construction schedule would result in a flood project feature being completed in late 2007 that would provide significant flood protection for a large area. Ms. Duerr summarized the costs savings realized by funding the TRAction project.

Mr. Nebesky reiterated the proposed construction timeline once funding is approved. It was confirmed that construction of the project would occur before Wal-Mart's construction started. Mr. Nebesky also stated that the Colony would participate in a flood funding district like any other landowner, and not seek to be exempt based on its status as a sovereign nation. He also stated that the Colony was already talking to flood project staff about how they would participate in ongoing maintenance.

Flood Project Coordinating Committee – DRAFT Minutes

April 13, 2007

Page 8 of 11

There was some discussion about requiring the use of local contractors for the project. It was noted that such a provision might affect the overall project's funding and credits. Therefore, it is crucial that all federal regulations be followed to assure proper credit and/or reimbursement.

Tim Kelleher – Corps, commented that final credits are accounted for during the final project audit at the end of project.

It was moved by Member Larkin, seconded by Member Gustin, to recommend that the Board of County Commissioners approve the funding for the construction of a levee and flood wall on property located along the south side of the Truckee River, in an amount not to exceed \$1.72-million or fifty-percent of the land value and construction costs, whichever is less, to direct staff to enter into a TRAction agreement with the Reno Sparks Indian Colony, that a flood maintenance district be considered, and that a member of the Truckee River Flood Project team appointed by the Flood Project Director (Naomi Duerr) would participate in the activities of the project's management team.

Member Martini stated that he would support the motion based on the overall benefits to the Truckee River Flood Project. Mr. Martini emphasized that this is not a "gift" to the FPCC from the Colony.

Member Humke noted that this would be the first visible component of the project and it would protect the wider community as well.

Mr. Nebesky stated that RSIC would not, in his opinion, seek an exemption from any future flood funding district based on its status as a sovereign nation, but would be like any other property owner in the district.

Member Larkin expressed his support for the motion and pointed out that other TRAction project applicants should not expect a similar result, as each proposal will be judged solely on its merits.

Member Gustin noted the project would protect Renown Medical Center from flooding and they were very glad it was being constructed and the Colony's contributions would benefit the whole community.

Chair Sferrazza agreed and noted the leverage of project funding and the first visible flood project component that, in her opinion, will demonstrate to the community that the flood project is moving forward and creating good partnerships.

The motion carried: Members Humke, Gustin, Larkin, Martini, Smith and Chair Sferrazza assenting; and Members Dickens and Glick excused.

Dean Schultz suggested that an extension of the project to the Grand Sierra Resort be discussed at a future meeting.

Ms. Duerr commented that the City of Reno was considering the extension of the proposed project with additional levees and it would be brought to the FPCC at a future meeting.

Flood Project Coordinating Committee – DRAFT Minutes

April 13, 2007

Page 9 of 11

Member Martini left the meeting at 11:16 a.m.

- 9. UPDATE ON PROPOSED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR PROJECT FUNDING –** *Possible action to accept report and provide direction to staff on further development of the interlocal funding agreement.*

Naomi Duerr – Flood Project Director, commented that the legal and finance staff for the three jurisdictions have listed the basic principles guiding development of the interlocal, which would be presented to each of the local jurisdictions for their input and approval. The team would continue to move forward on finalizing the interlocal and would then bring it to the FPCC when they finished or when there was another decision point they needed input from the FPCC on.

- 10. UPDATE ON FPCC LEGAL COUNSEL –** *Possible action to accept report.*

Pete Simeoni - Deputy District Attorney, explained that District Attorney Dick Gammick had determined that as the FPCC was an agency of Washoe County that outside legal counsel could only be used under specific circumstances and that the Washoe County District Attorney would continue to provide legal staff as needed.

Member Martini rejoined the meeting at 11:19 a.m.

Chair Sferrazza turned the meeting gavel to Vice-chair Larkin at 11:19 a.m. and left the meeting.

- 11. OVERVIEW OF FLOOD PROJECT ORGANIZATION CHART –** *Presentation on current organizational chart for Truckee River Flood Management Department. Possible action to accept report and provide direction to staff to retain or amend organizational chart.*

Naomi Duerr – Flood Project Director, provided an overview of the organizational chart noting that the chart includes various consultants to show there are many other professionals involved in doing the work needed for the flood project. It is Ms. Duerr's belief that there are sufficient resources to properly manage the project and she compared the staff size used by the City of Reno for Project ReTRAC (Reno Transportation Rail Access Corridor), noting that the flood project's staff was much smaller than ReTRAC's, even though the ReTRAC project was only about one-third the size of the overall Truckee River Flood Management Project.

- 12. WORKING GROUP MONTHLY REPORT –** *Report on Working Group meeting of March 28, 2007. Possible action to accept report and provide direction to the Working Group on items as presented in the report.*

It was moved by Member Gustin, seconded by Member Smith, to accept the report as presented. The motion carried: Members Humke, Gustin, Martini, Smith and Vice-chair Larkin assenting; Members Dickens, Glick and Chair Sferrazza excused.

- 13. FLOOD PROJECT MONTHLY REPORTS**

- 13-A. MONTHLY ACTIVITIES REPORT –** *1). Staff Activities; 2). TAC (Technical Advisory Committee) Meetings; and 3). Clippings;*

Flood Project Coordinating Committee – DRAFT Minutes

April 13, 2007

Page 10 of 11

13-B. FINANCIAL REPORT – *B-1. Month of March 2007 transactions; and B-2. Fiscal year to date transactions (July 2006 through March 2007); and*

13-C. PROJECT TIMELINE – *Possible action to accept reports and provide direction regarding project scheduling and funding items as set forth in the reports.*

Naomi Duerr - Flood Project Director, provided an overview of the staff activities and TAC (Technical Advisory Committee) meetings. Ms. Duerr then drew attention to the press clippings appended to the report and asked whether staff should continue to include that information as part of the monthly update.

Dennis Miller left the meeting at 11:26 a.m.

A consensus was reached to continue adding press clippings to the monthly update report.

Ms. Duerr noted that staff had met with the Corps (U. S. Army Corps of Engineers) on the schedule and recalled the special meeting held on March 20, 2007, to approve the funding of an external peer review. Ms. Duerr explained that the Corps continues to seek similar opportunities to seek the FPCC's assistance in order to reduce the project's timeline.

It was moved by Member Humke, seconded by Member Smith, to accept the report as presented. The motion carried: Members Humke, Gustin, Martini, Smith and Vice-chair Larkin assenting; Members Dickens, Glick and Chair Sferrazza excused.

14. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEER'S MONTHLY REPORT – *Report on activities related to the Truckee River Flood Management Project including project scheduling and funding. Possible action to accept the report and provide direction to staff related to Truckee River Flood Management Project scheduling and funding items as set forth in the report.*

Frank Piccola - Chief of the Planning Division, commented that he had accepted the Chief's position in January 2007 and explained that staff is committed to the Truckee River project. Mr. Piccola intends to continue a transparent sharing of information with the FPCC (Flood Project Coordinating Committee) and staff. Mr. Piccola noted that Jerry Fuentes was seeking ways to reduce the timeline by 60-days. Mr. Piccola outlined the matrix team working on the Truckee River project under the lead of Brandon Muncy with Tim Kelleher serving as the Project Manager.

Tim Kelleher – Project Manager, echoed Mr. Piccola's commitment to the project and noted that full funding had been received for the balance of FY (fiscal year) 2006-2007 to cover Corps staff operations. Mr. Kelleher expressed his appreciation to the FPCC for funding the external peer review process and explained that a similar process will most likely be used for the bridge costing and preliminary design work.

It was moved by Member Gustin, seconded by Member Humke, to accept the report as presented. The motion carried: Members Humke, Gustin, Martini, Smith and Vice-chair Larkin assenting; Members Dickens, Glick and Chair Sferrazza excused.

Vice-chair Larkin reopened Agenda Item 10

10. UPDATE ON FPCC LEGAL COUNSEL – *Possible action to accept report.*

It was moved by Member Humke, seconded by Member Martini, to accept the report as presented. The motion carried: Members Humke, Gustin, Martini, Smith and Vice-chair Larkin assenting; Members Dickens, Glick and Chair Sferrazza excused.

15. COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS, REQUESTS AND FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Member Martini requested an update on the following: 1) North Truckee Drain Interlocal Agreement; 2) Benefits Engineering Study; and 3) Regional Hydrological model.

Vice-chair Larkin asked that an update on the future extension of the levee/flood walls from the Reno Sparks Indian Colony to the Grand Sierra Report be added to a future agenda.

Member Gustin suggested an agenda item to reconsider and perhaps take another action on the voting structure be added to the next agenda for discussion and possible action.

Deputy District Attorney Pete Simeoni will research the matter of reconsideration and provide a clarification to the board at the next meeting in response to Member Gustin's request.

16. ADJOURNMENT

Vice-chair Larkin adjourned the meeting at 11:43 a.m.