

FCPNC Reference Book

	Member	Representing		Member	Representing
1.	Jay Aldean	TRFMA	9.	Rod Young	NV AFL-CIO
2.	Julia Ratti	State Senate	10.		Governor
3.	Mike Sprinkle	State Assembly	11.	Mike Kazmierski	EDAWN
4.	Marge Frandsen	NV Assoc. of Realtors	12.	Andrew Diss	Resort Association
5.	Lea Tauchen	Retail Assoc. of NV	13.	Tray Abney	Chamber
6.	Scott Smith	Washoe County	14.	Bob LaRiviere	BANN
7.	Dave Aiazzi	City of Reno	15.	Dean Schultz	RTAA
8.	Dick Mills City of Sparks		16.	Matthew Duplantis	NAIOP

Contact Information:

Truckee River Flood Management Authority

9635 Gateway Drive, Suite A

Reno, NV 89521

www.trfma.org

775-850-7460

Staff Directory:

Jay Aldean, Executive Director	Cell: 775-771-6860;	775-850-7470
Michael Wolz, General Counsel	Cell: 775-813-1662;	775-850-7475
Laura Bayer, Administrative Assistant II/FCPNC Liaison		775-850-7477
Ed Evans, Sr. Hydrogeologist		775-850-7465
Danielle Henderson, Natural Resource Manager		775-850-7461
Eric Scheetz, Engineer		775-850-7473
Lori Williams, Sr. Financial Analyst		775-850-7471



Truckee River Flood Control Project Needs Committee

Summary of A.B. 375

Section 1.1: The governing body of a flood management authority may, by resolution, create a flood control project needs committee (FCPNC) to recommend the imposition of one or more taxes, fees, rates or charges to fund the construction of an approved flood control project. The members of the FCPNC are:

	Title	Representing		Title	Representing
1.	Jay Aldean	TRFMA	9.	Rod Young	NV AFL-CIO
2.	Julia Ratti	State Senate	10.		Governor
3.	Mike Sprinkle	State Assembly	11.	Mike Kazmierski	EDAWN
4.	Marge Frandsen	NV Assoc. of Realtors	12.	Andrew Diss	Resort Association
5.	Lea Tauchen	Retail Assoc. of NV	13.	Tray Abney	Chamber of Commerce
6.	Scott Smith	Washoe County	14.	Bob LaRiviere	BANN
7.	Dave Aiazzi	City of Reno	15.	Dean Schultz	RTAA
8.	Dick Mills	City of Sparks	16.	Matthew Duplantis	NAIOP

Section 1.2: Members appointed under paragraphs (f), (g) and (i) to (o) must be residents of Washoe County.

Section 1.3: In case of a vacancy, an appointing entity shall have 30 days to name a replacement.

Section 1.4: The first meeting is to be called by the TRFMA Executive Director. At the first meeting the FRNCP must elect a Chair.

Section 1.5: A majority of the voting members (8 of 14 members) constitute a quorum. A majority of members present at any meeting is sufficient for official action.

Section 1.6: The TRFMA Executive Director shall provide administrative support for the FCPNC.

Section 2.1: Before April 1, 2018 the FCPNC shall:

- (a) Prepare recommendations regarding taxes, fees, rates, or any combination thereof to provide funding to the flood management authority for an approved flood management project.
 - (1) The FCPNC <u>must</u> include a proposal for the imposition of a fee, rate or charge that the governing body of the flood authority is authorized to impose.
 - (2) The FCPNC <u>may</u> include a proposal for imposition of one or more of the taxes or fees listed in AB 375
 - (3) The FCPNC must specify the proposed rate or rates for any recommended taxes or fees, and may specify the period during which those may be imposed.
- (b) Submit the recommendations to the TRFMA Board of Directors and to the Washoe County Board of County Commissioners.

Section 2.2: If the FCPNC is unable to develop a recommendation because of a lack of documentation or technical information the committee may dissolve itself without submitting recommendations.

Section 2.3:

- (a) If a fee is recommended, the TRFMA Board of Directors shall impose the fee at the rates and for the periods recommended.
- (b) If a tax is recommended, the Board of County Commissioners shall submit a question to the voters at the general election of 2018 asking whether any of the proposed taxes should be imposed. The question must identify the rate of the proposed tax and its duration if recommended.

Section 2.4: If the voters approve a tax or taxes proposed pursuant to Section 2.3(b),

- (a) The Board of County Commissioners must impose the recommended tax
- (b) If the approved tax is a property tax, it is not subject to partial abatement or the property tax cap.

Section 2.5: Taxes imposed pursuant to Section 2 are not subject to limitations placed on similar taxes elsewhere in statute.

Section 3: Taxes that may be considered by the FCPNC include:

- 1. Room tax
- 2. Supplemental government services tax (vehicle privilege tax)
- 3. Real property transfer tax
- 4. Property tax
- 5. Any other tax that the county is authorized to impose under State law.

Section 4: Proceeds of any tax or fee:

- 1. Must be deposited in TRFMA's flood management project fund and be used to pay the costs of approved flood management projects.
- 2. May be pledged to the payment of principle and interest on bonds issued for approved flood management projects.

Section 5.1: No later than 18 months from its first meeting the FCPNC shall:

- (a) Conduct meetings and receive information concerning flooding in areas not covered by TRFMA's flood protection plan
- (b) Submit a report to the Governor, the Director of the Legislative Counsel Bureau, the Regional Planning Commission, the Board of County Commissioners, the city councils of Reno and Sparks, and the TRFMA Board of Directors. The report must contain:
 - (1) A summary of existing flood management plans adopted by the County, Reno, and Sparks, including capital improvement recommendations
 - (2) Description of areas most affected by flooding that are not covered by the TRFMA flood protection plan
 - (3) Descriptions of the sources of flooding
 - (4) A compilation of projects suggested in existing community planning documents that would address known areas of flooding
 - (5) Recommendations for flood protection measures for known sources of flooding
 - (6) Recommendations of issues that need further study and modeling.

Section 5.2: "Flood Management Authority" has meaning ascribed to it in NRS 244A.0293.

Section 6: AB 375 became effective on approval.

Assembly Bill No. 375–Assemblymen Sprinkle, Benitez-Thompson, Joiner and Daly

CHAPTER.....

AN ACT relating to taxation; authorizing the governing body of a flood management authority under specified circumstances to adopt a resolution establishing a flood control project needs committee to recommend the imposition of certain taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies or assessments to fund the approved flood management projects of the flood management authority; providing that if such a committee is established and submits its recommendations to the governing body of the flood management authority and the board of county commissioners within the time prescribed, the governing body of the flood management authority is required to impose certain recommended fees, rates or charges and the board of county commissioners is required to submit a question to the voters at the 2018 General Election asking whether the other recommended taxes, fees, levies or assessments should be imposed in the county; requiring the board of county commissioners to adopt an ordinance imposing any such taxes, fees, levies or assessments that are approved by the voters; providing for the use of the proceeds of such taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies or assessments for approved flood management projects; providing that if a flood control project needs committee is established, the committee is required to obtain information and submit a report concerning certain flooding issues in the county; providing for the prospective expiration of the authority of a governing body to establish such a committee; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Section 1 of this bill authorizes the governing body of a flood management authority to establish by resolution a flood control project needs committee to recommend the imposition of certain: (1) flood management fees, rates, charges, levies or assessments; or (2) taxes for consideration by the voters at the 2018 General Election to fund the approved flood management projects of the flood management authority.

Sections 2 and 3 of this bill provide that if such a committee is established, the committee: (1) must recommend the imposition of certain flood management fees, rates, charges, levies or assessments; and (2) may recommend the imposition of one or more other taxes. The taxes which may be recommended for imposition are: (1) an additional tax on the gross receipts from the rental of transient lodging in the county; (2) a supplemental governmental services tax for the privilege of operating a vehicle upon the public streets, roads and highways of the county; (3) an additional tax on the transfer of real property in the county; (4) an additional



property tax in the county; and (5) any other tax, fee, levy or assessment the county is authorized under the law of this State to impose. The recommendations of the committee must specify the rate or rates for each of the recommended taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies or assessments and may specify the period during which the recommended taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies or assessments will be imposed. The committee may dissolve itself without making recommendations if the committee is unable to develop recommendations because of a lack of sufficient documentation or technical information necessary to make such recommendations. However, if the committee submits its recommendations to the governing body of the flood management authority and the board of county commissioners on or before April 2, 2018: (1) the governing body of the flood management authority is required to impose the recommended flood management fees, rates or charges; and (2) the board of county commissioners is required to submit a question to the voters at the November 6, 2018, General Election asking whether any of the other taxes, fees, levies or assessments recommended by the committee should be imposed in the county. If a majority of the voters approve the question, the board of county commissioners is required to impose the approved taxes, fees, levies and assessments at the rate or rates specified in the question submitted to the voters. If a majority of the voters approve the imposition of an additional property tax, the additional rate is exempt from the partial abatement of property taxes on certain property and the requirement that taxes ad valorem not exceed \$3.64 on each \$100 of assessed valuation.

Section 4 of this bill provides that the proceeds resulting from the imposition of such taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies and assessments: (1) must be deposited in the fund for flood management projects of the flood management authority; and (2) may be pledged to the payment of the principal and interest on bonds or other obligations issued for approved flood management projects.

Section 5 of this bill provides that if a flood control project needs committee is established, the committee must conduct meetings to receive information and evidence about flooding issues in the county and provide a report to the Governor, the Legislature, the regional planning commission, the board of county commissioners, the city council or other governing body of each city in the county and the governing body of the flood management authority of the county.

Section 6 of this bill provides that the provisions of this bill authorizing the governing body of a flood management authority to establish such a flood control project needs committee expire by limitation on April 2, 2018.

EXPLANATION - Matter in bolded italics is new; matter between brackets formitted material is material to be omitted.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. 1. The governing body of a flood management authority may, by resolution, establish a flood control project needs committee to recommend the imposition of one or more of the taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies or assessments described in sections 2 and 3 of this act to fund the approved flood management projects of the flood management authority. If such a resolution is adopted, the committee must be appointed consisting of:



(a) The chief executive officer of the flood management authority, who serves ex officio, or his or her designee.

(b) One Senator whose legislative district includes all or part of the flood management authority. If the legislative district of more than one Senator includes the flood management authority, those Senators shall initial appoint the member to serve

Senators shall jointly appoint the member to serve.

(c) One member of the Assembly whose legislative district includes all or part of the flood management authority. If the legislative district of more than one member of the Assembly includes the flood management authority, those members of the Assembly shall jointly appoint the member to serve.

- (d) One member who is a representative of the Nevada Association of Realtors, or its successor, appointed by that Association.
- (e) One member who is a representative of the Retail Association of Nevada, or its successor, appointed by that Association.
- (f) One member appointed by the board of county commissioners.
- (g) If the county includes one or more cities, the mayor of each such city shall appoint a member to serve.
- (h) One member who is a representative of a labor organization, appointed by the State of Nevada AFL-CIO, or its successor.
- (i) One member of the general public, appointed by the Governor of the State of Nevada.
- (j) One member who represents economic development in the county, appointed by the regional development authority, as defined in NRS 231.009, for that county.
- (k) One member who represents gaming, appointed by the gaming association with the largest membership in the county or, if there are no members of a gaming association in the county, the governing body of the flood management authority.
- (l) One member who represents business or commercial interests, other than gaming, appointed by the local chamber of commerce with the largest membership in the county or, if there is no local chamber of commerce in the county, the governing body of the flood management authority.
- (m) One member who represents homebuilders in the county, appointed by the association of homebuilders with the largest membership in the county or, if there are no members of an association of homebuilders in the county, the governing body of the flood management authority.



- (n) One member who represents the largest airport in the county, if any, appointed by that airport's governing board as a nonvoting member of the committee.
- (o) One member representing commercial developers in the county, appointed by the Northern Nevada Chapter of NAIOP Commercial Real Estate Development Association, or its successor.
- 2. The members appointed pursuant to paragraphs (f), (g) and (i) to (o), inclusive, of subsection 1 must be residents of the county.
- 3. Any vacancy occurring in the appointed membership of a committee established pursuant to subsection 1 must be filled in the same manner as the original appointment not later than 30 days after the vacancy occurs.
- 4. If a committee is established pursuant to subsection 1, the committee shall hold its first meeting upon the call of the chief executive officer of the flood management authority as soon as practicable after the appointments are made pursuant to subsection 1. At the first meeting of the committee, the members of the committee shall elect a Chair.
- 5. A majority of the voting members of a committee established pursuant to subsection 1 constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business, and a majority of those members present at any meeting is sufficient for any official action taken by the committee.
- 6. If a committee is established pursuant to subsection 1, the chief executive officer of the flood management authority shall provide administrative support to the committee.
- 7. As used in this section, "flood management authority" has the meaning ascribed to it in NRS 244A.0293.
- **Sec. 2.** 1. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 2, if a flood control project needs committee is established pursuant to subsection 1 of section 1 of this act, the committee shall, on or before April 2, 2018:
- (a) Prepare recommendations for the imposition of one or more of the taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies or assessments described in this section and section 3 of this act, or any combination thereof, in the county to provide funding to the flood management authority for one or more approved flood management projects, as defined in NRS 244A.0297. The recommendations:
- (1) Must include a proposal for the imposition of a fee, rate or charge that the governing body of a flood management authority is authorized to impose for services or facilities rendered by the flood management project pursuant to NRS 244A.063 and 268.738



and any interlocal agreement entered into pursuant to chapter 277 of NRS:

- (2) May include a proposal for the imposition of one or more of the taxes, fees, levies or assessments described in section 3 of this act in the county; and
- (3) Must specify the proposed rate or rates for each of the recommended taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies or assessments and may specify the period during which one or more of the recommended taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies or assessments will be imposed.
- (b) Submit the recommendations to the governing body of the flood management authority and the board of county commissioners.
- 2. If a flood control project needs committee is established pursuant to subsection 1 of section 1 of this act and the committee is unable to develop the recommendations required by subsection 1 because of a lack of sufficient documentation or technical information necessary to develop such recommendations, the dissolve itself without committee may submitting recommendations required by subsection 1. If the committee dissolves itself pursuant to this subsection, the committee must submit the report required by paragraph (b) of subsection 1 of section 5 of this act and include in the report a summary of the meetings conducted by the committee and the reason that the committee was unable to develop the recommendations required by subsection 1.
- 3. Upon the receipt of recommendations pursuant to subsection 1:
- (a) The governing body of a flood management authority shall impose the fee, rate or charge recommended pursuant to subparagraph (1) of paragraph (a) of subsection 1 at the rate or rates and for the period or periods specified in the recommendations submitted pursuant subsection 1, if the period was specified in those recommendations. The fee, rate or charge must be administered and enforced in the same manner as similar fees, rates or charges imposed pursuant to NRS 244A.063 and 268.738 and any interlocal agreement entered into pursuant to chapter 277 of NRS.
- (b) The board of county commissioners shall, at the General Election on November 6, 2018, submit a question to the voters of the county asking whether any of the taxes, fees, levies or assessments recommended pursuant to subparagraph (2) of paragraph (a) of subsection 1 should be imposed in the county. The question submitted to the voters of the county must specify the



proposed rate or rates for each of the recommended taxes, fees, levies or assessments and the period during which each of the recommended taxes, fees, levies or assessments will be imposed, if the period was specified in the recommendations submitted pursuant to subsection 1. If the question submitted to the voters pursuant to this subsection asks the voters of the county whether to impose the tax described in subsection 4 of section 3 of this act or any other property tax, the question must state that any such tax imposed is exempt from each partial abatement from taxation provided pursuant to NRS 361.4722, 361.4723 and 361.4724.

- 4. If a majority of the voters voting on the question submitted to the voters pursuant to paragraph (b) of subsection 3 vote affirmatively on the question:
- (a) The board of county commissioners shall impose the recommended taxes, fees, levies or assessments in accordance with the provisions of section 3 of this act and at the rate or rates specified in the question submitted to the voters pursuant to paragraph (b) of subsection 3.
- (b) If the question recommended the imposition of the tax described in subsection 4 of section 3 of this act or any other property tax:
- (1) Any such tax imposed is exempt from each partial abatement from taxation provided pursuant to NRS 361.4722, 361.4723 and 361.4724.
- (2) The provisions of NRS 361.453 do not apply to any such tax imposed.
- 5. The taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies and assessments imposed pursuant to this section must be imposed notwithstanding the provisions of any specific statute to the contrary and, except as otherwise specifically provided in sections 1 to 4, inclusive, of this act, such taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies and assessments are not subject to any limitations set forth in any statute which authorizes the board of county commissioners to impose such taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies and assessments, including, without limitation, any limitations on the maximum rate or rates which may be imposed or the duration of the period during which such taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies and assessments may be imposed.
- **Sec. 3.** 1. Upon approval of the registered voters of a county voting on a question presented to the voters pursuant to section 2 of this act recommending the imposition of a tax on the gross receipts from the rental of transient lodging, in addition to all other taxes imposed on the revenue from the rental of transient lodging, the board of county commissioners shall impose a tax on the gross



receipts from the rental of transient lodging at the rate specified in the question presented to the voters pursuant to section 2 of this act. The tax must be imposed throughout the county, including its incorporated cities, upon all persons in the business of providing transient lodging. The tax must be administered and enforced in the same manner as similar taxes imposed pursuant to chapter 244 of NRS on the revenue from the rental of transient lodging are administered and enforced.

- 2. Upon approval of the registered voters of a county voting on a question presented to the voters pursuant to section 2 of this act recommending the imposition of a supplemental governmental services tax for the privilege of operating a vehicle upon the public streets, roads and highways of the county, the board of county commissioners shall, in addition to any supplemental governmental services tax imposed pursuant to NRS 371.043 or 371.045, impose a supplemental governmental services tax at the rate specified in the question presented to the voters pursuant to section 2 of this act on each vehicle based in the county except:
- (a) A vehicle exempt from the governmental services tax pursuant to chapter 371 of NRS; or
- (b) A vehicle subject to NRS 706.011 to 706.861, inclusive, which is engaged in interstate or intercounty operations.
- → The tax must be administered and enforced in the same manner as the taxes imposed pursuant to NRS 371.043 and 371.045 are administered and enforced.
- 3. Upon approval of the registered voters of a county voting on a question presented to the voters pursuant to section 2 of this act recommending the imposition of a tax on transfers of real property, in addition to all other taxes imposed on transfers of real property pursuant to chapter 375 of NRS, the board of county commissioners shall impose a tax at the rate specified in the question presented to the voters pursuant to section 2 of this act on each deed by which any lands, tenements or other realty is granted, assigned, transferred or otherwise conveyed to, or vested in, another person, or land sale installment contract, if the consideration or value of the interest or property conveyed exceeds \$100. The amount of the tax must be computed on the basis of the value of the real property that is the subject of the transfer or land sale installment contract as declared pursuant to NRS 375.060. The county recorder shall collect the tax in the manner provided in NRS 375.030.
- 4. Upon approval of the registered voters of a county voting on a question presented to the voters pursuant to section 2 of this act recommending an increase in the rate of the tax levied in accordance



with NRS 361.460, the board of county commissioners shall, in addition to any tax levied in accordance with NRS 361.460, levy a tax on the assessed valuation of taxable property within the county in the amount described in the question presented to the voters pursuant to section 2 of this act. The tax must be administered and enforced in the same manner as the tax imposed pursuant to NRS 361.460 is administered and enforced.

- 5. Upon approval of the registered voters of a county voting on a question presented to the voters pursuant to section 2 of this act recommending the imposition of any other tax, fee, levy or assessment not described in subsections 1 to 4, inclusive, that the county is authorized under the law of this State to impose, the board of county commissioners shall levy or otherwise impose such a tax, fee, levy or assessment at the rate or rates specified in the question presented to the voters pursuant to section 2 of this act. Each tax, fee, levy or assessment must be administered and enforced as provided for under the laws of this State.
- **Sec. 4.** The proceeds of any taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies and assessments imposed pursuant to sections 2 and 3 of this act:
- 1. Must be deposited in the flood management authority's fund for flood management projects to be held and, except as otherwise provided in subsection 2, expended to pay the costs of one or more of the approved flood management projects set forth in NRS 244A.0297.
- 2. May be pledged to the payment of principal and interest on bonds or other obligations issued for one or more of the approved flood management projects set forth in NRS 244A.0297. The proceeds of such taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies and assessments so pledged may be treated as pledged revenues for the purposes of subsection 3 of NRS 350.020, and the governing body of the flood management authority may issue bonds for those purposes in accordance with the provisions of chapter 350 of NRS.
- **Sec. 5.** 1. If a flood control project needs committee is established pursuant to subsection 1 of section 1 of this act, the committee shall, not later than 18 months after the date of the first meeting of the committee:
- (a) Conduct meetings at which the committee receives information and evidence concerning the issue of flooding in areas of the county not covered by a plan for protection against floods which is adopted by the flood management authority of the county, regardless of the source or cause of such flooding; and
- (b) Submit a report to the Governor, the Director of the Legislative Counsel Bureau for transmission to the next session of



the Legislature, the regional planning commission in the jurisdiction of the flood management authority, the board of county commissioners, the city council or other governing body of each incorporated city in the county and the governing body of the flood management authority. The report must contain:

(1) A summary of any existing flood management plans adopted by the county or an incorporated city located within the county, including, without limitation, any policy or capital

improvement recommendations included in such plans;

(2) A description of the areas of the county which are most affected by flooding and which are not covered by the plan for protection against floods which is adopted by the flood management authority of the county;

(3) A description of the source of flooding in the areas of the

county described pursuant to subparagraph (2);

(4) A compilation of projects suggested in existing community planning documents that would address known areas of flooding in the county;

(5) Recommendations for flood protection or mitigation measures for known sources of flooding and sources of flooding identified during the course of the meetings conducted pursuant to paragraph (a); and

(6) Recommendations of issues that need further study and

modeling.

- 2. As used in this section, "flood management authority" has the meaning ascribed to it in NRS 244A.0293.
- **Sec. 6.** 1. This act becomes effective upon passage and approval.
 - 2. Section 1 of this act expires by limitation on April 2, 2018.





TRUCKEE RIVER FLOOD MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY

RESOLUTION 2017-8

A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING THE TRUCKEE RIVER FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT NEEDS COMMITTEE PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ASSEMBLY BILL 375, 79TH SESSION OF THE NEVADA LEGISLATURE (2017).

WHEREAS:

- A. Significant flooding of the Truckee River and its key tributaries occurs at least once every ten years and inflicts catastrophic (i) loss of life and property; (ii) disruption of commerce, transportation, communication and essential services which not only impair the ability to provide public safety and health services but also have adverse economic impacts; (iii) waste of and reduction in the quality of water; and (iv) deterioration of public health and safety.
- **B.** Since 1986 Washoe County, the City of Reno, and the City of Sparks have been working with community coalition citizen groups, working groups, local agencies, and the United States Army Corps of Engineers to develop a plan with a series of coordinated flood control facilities along the Truckee River which would reduce or mitigate flood damage.
- C. In order to further efforts to develop a flood control project, Washoe County, the City of Reno, and the City of Sparks created the Truckee River Flood Management Authority (TRFMA) by Interlocal Cooperative Agreement dated March 11, 2011. Washoe County, the City of Reno, and the City of Sparks conferred and delegated such powers and authority to TRFMA as are needed to allow TRFMA to plan, construct, manage, and operate a Truckee River flood control project.
- **D.** On December 13, 2013 the TRFMA Board of Directors approved the elements of the TRFMA Flood Protection Plan.
- **E.** On June 12, 2017, the Governor of the State of Nevada approved Assembly Bill 375, 79th Session of the Nevada Legislature (2017)(Assembly Bill 375). That statute provides that a flood management authority may, by resolution, establish a flood control project needs committee to recommend the imposition of one or more of the taxes, fees, rates, charges, levies or assessments described in the statute to fund approved flood management projects.
- **F.** TRFMA constitutes a flood management authority for purposes of Assembly Bill 375, and TRFMA's Chapter 377B Plan and December 13, 2013 Flood Protection Plan constitute approved flood management projects for purposes of Assembly Bill 375.

- G. Development and construction of the TRFMA Flood Protection Plan is critical to Washoe County, the City of Reno, and the City of Sparks in that it will prevent the loss of life and property, prevent the disruption of commerce, transportation, communication and essential services, prevent the waste of water resulting from floods, provide for the conservation, development, use and disposal of water and improved quality of water, provide for ecosystem restoration and enhanced recreational facilities, and provide for the safeguarding of the public health.
- **H.** Additional funding is necessary in order to design and construct the TRFMA Flood Protection Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Truckee River Flood Management Authority Board of Directors hereby establishes the Truckee River Flood Control Project Needs Committee pursuant Section 1 of Assembly Bill 375;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Truckee River Flood Management Authority shall provide administrative support to the Truckee River Flood Control Project Needs Committee, and will provide any information and access that the committee requires to fulfill its mission;

ADOPTED this 20th day of June 2017 by a unanimous vote of all the Directors present at the meeting.

Ron Smith, Chairman of the Board

INFRASTRUCTURE AND FUNDING

There is an interlocal agreement with Washoe County, the City of Reno and the City of Sparks

- 6-member board, 2 from each member
- All decisions must be unanimous

The sales tax funding comes from the 1998 Washoe County Commission approved 1/4 cent

- TRFMA receives a portion of the 1/8 cent dedicated to public safety and the flood project
- Yields ~\$8 million; \$5 to \$6 million after debt service

CAPITAL PROJECTS COMPLETED BY THE TRFMA

- New Virginia Street Bridge \$12.2 M
- Reno-Sparks Indian Colony Floodwall and Levee \$1.8 M
- Hidden Valley Engineering Study & Pilot Home Elevation Project \$680,000
- Restoration Projects: 102 Ranch, Lockwood, Lower Mustang Ranch, Tracy Power Plant \$6.5 M
- North Truckee Drain \$5 M
- Property Purchases, Relocations, Demolitions \$48 M

TRFMA - Statement of Purpose

Significant flooding of the Truckee River and its Key Tributaries occurs at least once every ten years and inflicts catastrophic (i) loss of life and property; (ii) disruption of commerce, transportation, communication and essential services which not only impair the ability to provide public safety and health services but also have adverse economic impacts; (iii) waste of and reduction in the quality of water; and (iv) deterioration of public health and safety; The Members share a common and compelling interest in jointly regulating and controlling waters of the Truckee River and its Key Tributaries that flow through their territories to reduce or mitigate such damages or losses.

THE TRUCKEE RIVER FLOOD PROJECT UPDATE

- Recommended as a 100-yr local plan The TRFMA board approved the 100-yr plan to send to the Army Corps of Engineers, designed using their criteria
- Project Cost:
 - Originally started < \$300 million (1996); escalated to \$1.6 billion (2010)
 - Through planning and engineering, the cost is now ~\$400 million
- ASAP Construction Scenario
 - Earliest finish date is ~2032

FUNDING

- Portion of current 1/8 cent sales tax
- TRFMA Direct Benefit Fee
 - The Authority may impose a flood fee
 - For planning purposes, the board considered \$8/1000 SF of developed commercial space in the Direct Benefit Area
- Other Funding Sources
 - The Truckee River Flood Control Project Needs Committee has been formed in accordance with AB 375 to consider other funding sources
- Federal Contribution
 - ~\$180 million
 - We must negotiate a partnership agreement with the Corps by ~2021
 - Requires successful vote in 2018 or Federal funding will be lost, never to return

APPROVED LEGISLATION - AB 375

- Signed into law June 12, 2017
- Modeled after the Washoe County School District SB 411 Committee
- 16-person committee formed, comprised of elected officials, private citizens and community groups

NEXT STEPS FOR THE FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT NEEDS COMMITTEE (FCPNC)

- Before April 2, 2018, the FCPNC will prepare recommendations regarding taxes, fees, rates or any combination to provide funding
 - · Any funding proposal must include a proposal for the imposition of a fee, rate or charge
 - A funding proposal may include a proposal for the imposition of one or more taxes
- Under AB 375, the taxes that may be considered include: room tax, supplemental government services tax (vehicle privilege tax), real property transfer tax, property tax or any other tax the county is authorized to impose
- Sales tax may not be considered
- Committee to submit a question for the 2018 general election ballot asking if proposed taxes should be imposed
- The FCPNC will have 18 months to submit a report concerning the issue of the regional flooding in areas of Reno, Sparks and Washoe County not covered by a flood protection plan, including street storm drains and tributaries

BENEFITS

- The 100-year plan provides protection for residents, businesses, flood-prone properties and the community as a whole
- The project will help avoid the life-threatening and economic impacts that a major flood event in the future could do
- Flood safety is a top regional priority for this community-wide effort
- The funding will close the financial gap currently needed to complete the project that addresses flooding threats in the event another large-scale flood, such as the 1997 event, occurs in the community
- The best solution with moving the project forward is the continuation of the joint partnership between the cities of Reno and Sparks, Washoe County and the Army Corps of Engineers





Truckee River Flood Management Authority Milestone Accomplishments March 2011 thru July 2017

On-Going		
	North Truckee	Provided funding and technical support for the NTD construction.
	Drain (NTD)	Sparks Public Works is management lead. (Phase I &II complete for \$9.2
	,	million, planning for Phase III ongoing). \$5.02 million from TRFMA.
	Regional Flood	Responsible agency for operation and maintenance of the regional
	Warning	Flood Warning network of river and meteorological gages. 38 locations
		maintained directly by staff. Lead agency for operating the Truckee
		River Flood Warning Plan used to provide notification of potential
		flooding and provide up to 5-7 days of advance warning prior to
		occurrence. Plan activation assists regional municipalities and agencies
		in EOC activation and start of flood protection strategies. Technical staff
		support provided to Washoe County REOC during heavy rain events.
	Fiscal	Operating Expenditures (non-capital expenditures) have decreased by
	Accountability	\$525K (23%) over the last five years. (Breakdown: Personnel decreased
		by \$430,157 (32%) and <i>Other</i> decreased by \$93,165 (6%).)
	Home Elevation	Applying for \$1.75 million FEMA Home Elevation Grant.
	Agency	Coordinate on technical issues with TMWA, RTC, RPC, REOC/NWS and
	Coordination	the cities of Reno and Sparks and Washoe County on FEMA issues.
	Property	Coordinate with local law enforcement and safety agencies for use of
	Management	TRFMA properties for their training purposes. Coordinate with other
		agencies/entities as appropriate on legal, fiduciary, maintenance, grant
		acquisition, and code issues. Currently managing the \$200K+ FEMA
		grant for demolition of Excel Building. Applying for additional
		demolition grant for former Bristlecone property.
	RSIC Levee	Continue establishment of pilot project vegetation/bank stabilization
	Landscaping	which will be used for rest of project. Received \$200K grant for pathway
		extension and coordinating with RTC for design of pathway extension.
	Lower Truckee	TRFMA has partnered with numerous local, state, and federal agencies
	River Ecosystem	and non-profit organizations to restore the lower Truckee River (from
	Restoration Efforts	Vista to Pyramid Lake). TRFMA has contributed about \$2.1 million in
		sales tax funds for land acquisition, planning, and construction to
		restore almost 8 miles and create more than 450 acres of habitat along
		the lower Truckee River. An estimated 216 Full-Time Equivalent (FTEs)
		jobs were created as a result of this work. TRFMA contributed an
		additional \$4.775 million in grant funds via Assembly Bill No. 5 (AB-5),
		passed by the Nevada State Legislature in 2007.
	Nevada Flood	TRFMA is part of an interagency campaign to raise public awareness of
	Awareness	flooding in Nevada and to help businesses and residents better prepare
201-		for flood emergencies. More information available at NevadaFloods.org.
2017	Te 15 ""	
July	Excel Building	Demolition of the former Excel Building begins.
June	Corps Review	USACE completes initial review of LDP.
June	AB 375	Nevada Legislature passes AB375 authorizing creation of the Truckee
	AA7.1	River Flood Control Project Needs Committee (FCPNC).
June	Website	Redesign of website to make it easier for public to quickly find
		important information about the project and upcoming meetings.

January	2-D Hydraulic	The regional hydraulic model using latest modeling software created
January	Model	flood simulation maps for the emergency managers during the winter
	Wiodei	flood events. Also using this modeling software to refine designs.
2016		Those events. Also using this modeling software to reline designs.
September	Project Comparison	Entered into an agreement with USACE for review of TRFMA's Locally
Jepternoe.	Agree. with USACE	Developed Plan. TRFMA initially contributed \$100,000 to this effort.
April	Virginia Street	Provided funding and technical support for the VSB construction. Reno
	Bridge (VSB)	Public Works is management lead. \$12.3 million from TRFMA. Also
		provided \$645,000 for the purchase of right-of-way on the Old U.S. Post
		Office parcel which will be used for the construction of the VSB.
February	Home Elevation	Managed grant and facilitated the home elevation at 2005 Parkway Dr.
2015		
December	Public Outreach to	Had meetings with over twenty property owners and tenants in the
	Sparks Industrial	Sparks Industrial Area to gather information and opinions regarding
	Area	flood protection fee.
October 9	Fee Structure	Director Hartung moved to direct staff to stop efforts to finalize the fee
	Analysis	structure analysis as currently constructed, direct staff to research and
		report on alternative funding sources, and direct staff to begin public
		outreach on funding.
Fall	Fee Structure	Completed draft funding study and draft fee amount to present to the
	Analysis	Board of Directors.
June 12	Home Elevation	TRFMA Board approved the Grant Commitment and Agreement in an
	Grant	amount not-to-exceed \$179,740 and the associated Declaration of
		Covenants, Restrictions and Easements so that the first home elevation
		could begin.
May	Emergency	Emergency Management Accreditation Program for Washoe
	Management	County. FEMA required Hazard Specific Annexes for regional disasters
	Accreditation	with staff reviewing/revising the Flood Annex. TRFMA was a recognized,
A	Described Described	participating agency in the accreditation process.
April	Record of Decision	The USACE Record of Decision was signed by the Assistant Secretary of
		the Army making the Corps' 50-year plan official; TRFMA can now issue
Povogotation	Tracy Dower Dlant	a supplemental to the document to build the 100-year plan. This project restored about 2.5 miles of river channel. The project also
Revegetation Phase	Tracy Power Plant Ecosystem	created 114.8 acres of native habitat.
Ongoing	Restoration Project	Total Cost: approx. \$4.1 million. Land Easement Value: approx. \$5.6
(Construction	Restoration Project	million (contributed in-kind by NV Energy). TRFMA Contribution: \$1.75
Completed		million in 1/8-cent sales tax funds for project planning, design and
2013)		construction. Jobs Created: 53.3 estimated Full-Time Equivalents
2014		Constitution Const
November	FEMA Exercise	Regional leadership training for 5 days at FEMA facilities in Emmitsburg,
		MD to conduct a team-building earthquake preparedness exercise.
Fall	LiDAR Survey	The first LiDAR survey of the Truckee River from the state line to
	,	Wadsworth was performed. \$72,000
October	Washoe County	Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan development in cooperation with the
(Thru	Regional Hazard	local jurisdictions. A FEMA requirement of future assistance is having a
Summer	Mitigation Plan	plan in place that identifies natural hazards and provides mitigation
2015)		planning to increase disaster resistance in the region. TRFMA is a
		participating agency.

August	Sponsorship of	USACE Sacramento District Commander Col. Farrell asked TRFMA to
. 10000	Restoration	sponsor restoration projects in the river. TRFMA agreed and is awaiting
	Projects	further information and direction.
May 2014	Congressional	The Truckee River Flood Project was successfully included in the 2014
,	Authorization of	Water Resources Reform and Development Act (WRRDA) approved by
	the Truckee	Congress. Senator Reid and staff worked to insert an amendment in
	Meadows Flood	Section 1036 of the WRDDA bill to allow TRFMA to issue a supplement
	Control Project,	to the Record of Decision that ultimately could permit the construction
	Nevada	of TRFMA's 100-year plan. This is a major milestone for the project as
	(WRRDA 2014)	work on this began in 1988.
March 14	NNWPC	TRFMA Board authorized the Executive Director to request that the
		Western Regional Water Commission create an additional voting
		position on the Northern Nevada Water Planning Commission for a
		TRFMA representative.
March	ArkStorm REOC	Regional exercise of potential catastrophic flooding in region based on
	Exercise	1862 CA/NV historic rainfall and flooding. Staff participation in exercise
		planning and facilitation.
February 14	Strategic Timeline	The Executive Director presented the TRFMA Project Planning Strategy
	for 2014 – 2017	Timeline for 2014 – 2017 developed by staff to the Board of Directors.
January 10	Sale and Lease of	TRFMA Board approved the sale and lease of sections of property to the
	Property to NDOT	Nevada Department of Transportation for a permanent easement and
	for Easement and	right-of-way, and a temporary construction easement for the
	Right-of-Way	construction related to the Southeast McCarran Boulevard Project.
		Permanent easement sold for \$73,970/temporary construction
		easement lease \$12,141 for two years, with option for one additional
		year at \$6,071 for a total of \$86,111 with possible additional \$6,071.
2013		
December 16	Civil Works Review	The Executive Director and Director Delgado met with the Civil Works
- 18	Board	Review Board (CWRB) in Washington DC. The CWRB voted unanimously
		to continue the work that guarantees a Chief's report.
December 13	Local Rate Plan	TRFMA Board approved the LRP as presented to be used for flood fee
	(LRP)	justification and rate determination.
Fall		*
	Local Rate Plan	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining
	Local Rate Plan (LRP) Developed	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked
		TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original
		TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to
		TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and
		TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced
		TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the
	(LRP) Developed	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the communities of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County.
Fall	(LRP) Developed Regional Resiliency	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the communities of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County. A cooperative effort between Reno, Sparks and Washoe County
Fall	(LRP) Developed	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the communities of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County. A cooperative effort between Reno, Sparks and Washoe County agencies to identify and reduce our vulnerability to climate variability
Fall	(LRP) Developed Regional Resiliency	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the communities of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County. A cooperative effort between Reno, Sparks and Washoe County agencies to identify and reduce our vulnerability to climate variability and build local resilience against weather related impacts. The region
Fall	(LRP) Developed Regional Resiliency	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the communities of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County. A cooperative effort between Reno, Sparks and Washoe County agencies to identify and reduce our vulnerability to climate variability and build local resilience against weather related impacts. The region conducted the study to better understand how the impacts of local
	(LRP) Developed Regional Resiliency Preparedness Study	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the communities of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County. A cooperative effort between Reno, Sparks and Washoe County agencies to identify and reduce our vulnerability to climate variability and build local resilience against weather related impacts. The region conducted the study to better understand how the impacts of local climate changes will directly affect operations of the regional partners.
Fall April 12	Regional Resiliency Preparedness Study	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the communities of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County. A cooperative effort between Reno, Sparks and Washoe County agencies to identify and reduce our vulnerability to climate variability and build local resilience against weather related impacts. The region conducted the study to better understand how the impacts of local climate changes will directly affect operations of the regional partners. The Truckee River Flood Project Local Interest Plan was approved by the
	(LRP) Developed Regional Resiliency Preparedness Study	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the communities of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County. A cooperative effort between Reno, Sparks and Washoe County agencies to identify and reduce our vulnerability to climate variability and build local resilience against weather related impacts. The region conducted the study to better understand how the impacts of local climate changes will directly affect operations of the regional partners. The Truckee River Flood Project Local Interest Plan was approved by the Board of Directors for submission to the USACE for federal authorization
April 12	Regional Resiliency Preparedness Study Local Interest Plan (LIP)	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the communities of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County. A cooperative effort between Reno, Sparks and Washoe County agencies to identify and reduce our vulnerability to climate variability and build local resilience against weather related impacts. The region conducted the study to better understand how the impacts of local climate changes will directly affect operations of the regional partners. The Truckee River Flood Project Local Interest Plan was approved by the Board of Directors for submission to the USACE for federal authorization in the Water Resources Reform and Development Act (WRRDA).
	Regional Resiliency Preparedness Study Local Interest Plan (LIP) 1725 S. McCarran	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the communities of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County. A cooperative effort between Reno, Sparks and Washoe County agencies to identify and reduce our vulnerability to climate variability and build local resilience against weather related impacts. The region conducted the study to better understand how the impacts of local climate changes will directly affect operations of the regional partners. The Truckee River Flood Project Local Interest Plan was approved by the Board of Directors for submission to the USACE for federal authorization in the Water Resources Reform and Development Act (WRRDA). The tenants at the 1725 S McCarran property (aka Bristlecone) were
April 12	Regional Resiliency Preparedness Study Local Interest Plan (LIP)	TRFMA reduced the scope and cost of the project while maintaining 100-year flood protection for the Truckee Meadows. TRFMA worked with its consultants and numerous stakeholders to revise the original plan developed by the Community Coalition, which was estimated to cost \$1.6 billion. Through reducing the number of project elements and in-depth Value Engineering, the overall Flood Project cost was reduced to just \$446 million. This represents roughly 72% in cost savings to the communities of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County. A cooperative effort between Reno, Sparks and Washoe County agencies to identify and reduce our vulnerability to climate variability and build local resilience against weather related impacts. The region conducted the study to better understand how the impacts of local climate changes will directly affect operations of the regional partners. The Truckee River Flood Project Local Interest Plan was approved by the Board of Directors for submission to the USACE for federal authorization in the Water Resources Reform and Development Act (WRRDA).

2012		
August 28	General	TRFMA contracted with and funded the USACE's completion of the GRR
	Reevaluation	for the Truckee River Flood Project. \$1.4 million
	Report (GRR)	,
Summer	Building Demolition	Through FEMA grant funding complete utility and low water use
		landscaping/dust management installed for building pads at Edison.
May 1	Transfer of Assets	With the transfer of over \$73 million in assets, TRFMA withdrew from
, =	from Washoe	Washoe County as a department and successfully established itself as a
	County to TRFMA	stand-alone governmental agency. A complete cadre of board business
		policies were developed and approved; business procedures were
		developed and issued by the Executive Director; an automated financial
		system was purchased, set up and tested so that all financial activities
		are now transacted and recorded internally by staff; insurance coverage
		for all TRFMA operational risks was sought and procured; and the
		agency's first (and all subsequent) independent annual audits concluded
		with unqualified opinions and no findings.
Spring	Locally Preferred	Through HDR contract (\$5 Million), reformulate and reanalyze the
	Plan (LPP) re-	General Reevaluation Report (GRR) so that the Corps may re-accept the
	analysis	project and submit to Congress.
March	DHD Exercise	Washoe County Health District and other jurisdictional staff participated
		in a scenario based exercises that focus on resource management and
		contaminant issues during a flood event. TRFMA assisted in exercise
		development and training.
Revegetation	Lockwood	This project restored 0.6 miles of river channel. The project also created
Phase from	Ecosystem	36.6 acres of native habitat.
2010-2012	Restoration and	Total Cost: approx. \$3.8 million (including recreational trailhead
(Construction	Recreational	construction). TRFMA Contribution: \$392,000 in 1/8-cent sales tax
Completed in	Trailhead Project	funds to purchase the Monday Property and demolish existing
2009)		structures located in the floodplain. Jobs Created: 37.4 estimated Full-
		Time Equivalents
Revegetation	Lower Mustang	This project restored 2.5 miles of river channel. The project also
Phase from	Ranch Ecosystem	created 186.9 acres of native habitat.
2010-2012	Restoration Project	Total Cost: approx. \$7.9 million. TRFMA Contribution: \$1.675 million in
(Constructed		AB-5 grant funds for project construction (no sales tax used). Jobs
in 2009)		Created: 78.5 estimated Full-Time Equivalents
2011		
May-Dec	Building	Through FEMA grant funding (\$311,490), removal of 6 buildings
	Demolition	(125,832 SF) in the flood way/plain at Edison.
Revegetation	102 Ranch	This project restored 2.0 miles of river channel. The project also
Phase 2009-	Ecosystem	created 113.9 acres of native habitat.
2011	Restoration Project	Total Cost: approx. \$5.6 million. TRFMA Contribution: \$3.1 million in
(Constructed		AB-5 grant funds for project construction (no sales tax used).
in 2008)	-	Jobs Created: 47.1 estimated Full-Time Equivalents
June	Bureau of	Regional exercise sponsored by BOR concerning potential flood of
	Reclamation (BOR)	record created by Stampede Dam operations during a flood event. Staff
	Stampede Exercise	participated in exercise technical design/development.
March 11	Authority Created	Interlocal Cooperative Agreement signed by cities of Reno and Sparks
		and Washoe County forming the Truckee River Flood Management
		Authority, a Joint Powers Agency.